

ANSWER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND

NO. 16357 EQUITY

HARRY M. RODERICK and
EULA I. RODERICK, his wife
PLAINTIFFS

VS.

ELMER E. RODERICK and
NELLIE RODERICK, his wife, et al
DEFENDANTS

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Edith W. Young and Samuel H. Young, her husband, to the Bill of Complaint filed in this cause, respectfully shows unto your Honors:

FIRST: That your Respondents admit the allegations contained in the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

SECOND: Answering the ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth, fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents neither admit nor deny said allegations except that they do admit having received their said distributive share as mentioned therein.

THIRD: Further answering said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents consent in so far as they respectively can do so and respectfully recommend that this Honorable Court grant the relief therein prayed.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered in singular and all the allegations of the said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents pray that they may be dismissed with their proper costs.

Edith W. Young

Edith W. Young

Samuel H. Young

Samuel H. Young